Case 4:14-cv-03428 Document 367 Filed in TXSD on 02/13/19 Page 1 of 3

United States District Court Southern District of Texas

> ENTERED February 13, 2019 David J. Bradley, Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

IN RE COBALT INTERNATIONAL ENERGY, INC. SECURITIES LITIGATION

Lead Case No. 4:14-cv-3428 (NFA)

[PROPOSED] ORDER APPROVING PLAN OF ALLOCATION OF NET SETTLEMENT FUND

This matter came on for hearing on February 13, 2019 (the "Settlement Hearing") on Lead Plaintiffs' motion to determine, among other things, whether the proposed plan of allocation of the Net Settlement Fund ("Plan of Allocation") created by the Settlements achieved in the above-captioned class action (the "Action") should be approved and related matters. The Court having considered all matters submitted to it at the Settlement Hearing and otherwise; and it appearing that notice of the Settlement Hearing substantially in the form approved by the Court was mailed to all Settlement Class Members who or which could be identified with reasonable effort, and that a summary notice of the hearing substantially in the form approved by the Court was published in *The Wall Street Journal* and was transmitted over the *PR Newswire* pursuant to the specifications of the Court; and the Court having considered and determined the fairness and reasonableness of the proposed Plan of Allocation,

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. This Order approving the proposed Plan of Allocation incorporates by reference the definitions in the Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement with the Sponsor

Defendants, the Sponsor Designee Defendants and Goldman Sachs & Co. LLC, dated October 9, 2018 (ECF No. 334-1); the Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement Among the Plaintiffs, Cobalt Individual Defendants, and Nader Tavakoli, Solely Acting as Plan Administrator on Behalf of the Cobalt Debtors, dated October 11, 2018 (ECF No. 337-1); and the Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement Between Plaintiffs and Underwriter Defendants Other Than Goldman Sachs & Co. LLC, dated November 28, 2018 (ECF No. 352-1) (collectively, the "Stipulations"), and all capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the same meanings as set forth in the Stipulations.

2. The Court has jurisdiction to enter this Order approving the proposed Plan of Allocation, and over the subject matter of the Action and all parties to the Action, including all Settlement Class Members.

3. Notice of Lead Plaintiffs' motion for approval of the proposed Plan of Allocation was given to all Settlement Class Members who could be identified with reasonable effort. The form and method of notifying the Settlement Class of the motion for approval of the proposed Plan of Allocation satisfied the requirements of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. § 78u-4(a)(7)), due process, and all other applicable law and rules, constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and constituted due and sufficient notice to all persons and entities entitled thereto.

4. The Court hereby finds and concludes that the formula for the calculation of the claims of Claimants as set forth in the Plan of Allocation mailed to Settlement Class Members provides a fair and reasonable basis upon which to allocate the proceeds of the Net



Settlement Fund among Settlement Class Members with due consideration having been given to administrative convenience and necessity.

6. The Court hereby finds and concludes that the Plan of Allocation is, in all respects, fair and reasonable to the Settlement Class. Accordingly, the Court hereby approves the Plan of Allocation proposed by Lead Plaintiffs.

7. There is no just reason for delay in the entry of this Order, and immediate entry by the Clerk of the Court is expressly directed.

SO ORDERED this 13th day of 720 , 2019.

The Honorable Nancy F. Atlas United States District Judge Case 4:14-cv-03428 Document 368 Filed in TXSD on 02/13/19 Page 1 of 12

United States District Court Southern District of Texas

> ENTERED February 13, 2019 David J. Bradley, Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

IN RE COBALT INTERNATIONAL ENERGY, INC. SECURITIES LITIGATION

Lead Case No. 1:14-cv-3428 (NFA)

JUDGMENT APPROVING CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT WITH THE SPONSOR DEFENDANTS, THE SPONSOR DESIGNEE DEFENDANTS AND GOLDMAN SACHS & CO. LLC

WHEREAS, a consolidated class action is pending in this Court entitled In re Cobalt International Energy, Inc. Securities Litigation, Lead Case No. 1:14-cv-3428-NFA (the "Action");

WHEREAS, (a) lead plaintiffs GAMCO Global Gold, Natural Resources & Income Trust and GAMCO Natural Resources, Gold & Income Trust (together, the "GAMCO Funds" or "Lead Plaintiffs") and plaintiffs St. Lucie County Fire District Firefighters' Pension Trust Fund, Fire and Police Retiree Health Care Fund, San Antonio, Sjunde AP-Fonden, and Universal Investment Gesellschaft m.b.H. (collectively, with Lead Plaintiffs, "Plaintiffs"), on behalf of themselves and the Settlement Class (defined below); and (b) defendants (i) The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc., Riverstone Holdings LLC, FRC Founders Corporation (f/k/a First Reserve Corporation), ACM Ltd. (f/k/a KERN Partners Ltd.), and The Carlyle Group, L.P. (collectively, the "Sponsor Defendants"), (ii) Peter R. Coneway, Henry Cornell, Michael G. France, N. John Lancaster, Scott L. Lebovitz, Kenneth W. Moore, J. Hardy Murchison, Kenneth A. Pontarelli, and D. Jeff van Steenbergen (collectively, the "Sponsor Designee Defendants"), and (iii) Goldman Sachs & Co. LLC (f/k/a Goldman, Sachs & Co.) ("GS&Co.," and, together with the Sponsor Defendants and the Sponsor Designee Defendants, the "Settling Defendants," and together with Plaintiffs, the "Settling Parties") have entered into a Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement With the Sponsor Defendants, the Sponsor Designee Defendants and Goldman Sachs & Co. LLC dated October 9, 2018 (the "Stipulation"), that provides for a complete dismissal with prejudice of the claims asserted against the Settling Defendants in the Action on the terms and conditions set forth in the Stipulation, subject to the approval of this Court (the "Settlement");

WHEREAS, unless otherwise defined in this Judgment, the capitalized terms herein shall have the same meaning as they have in the Stipulation;

WHEREAS, by Order dated November 2, 2018 (the "Preliminary Approval Order"), this Court: (a) preliminarily approved the Settlement; (b) certified the Settlement Class solely for purposes of effectuating the Settlement; (c) ordered that notice of the proposed Settlement be provided to potential Settlement Class Members; (d) provided Settlement Class Members with the opportunity either to exclude themselves from the Settlement Class or to object to the proposed Settlement; and (e) scheduled a hearing regarding final approval of the Settlement:

WHEREAS, due and adequate notice has been given to the Settlement Class;

-2-

Case 4:14-cv-03428 Document 368 Filed in TXSD on 02/13/19 Page 3 of 12

WHEREAS, the Court conducted a hearing on February 13, 2019 (the "Settlement Hearing") to consider, among other things: (a) whether the terms and conditions of the Settlement are fair, reasonable, and adequate to the Settlement Class, and should therefore be approved; and (b) whether a judgment should be entered dismissing the Action with prejudice as against the Settling Defendants; and

WHEREAS, the Court having reviewed and considered the Stipulation, all papers filed and proceedings held herein in connection with the Settlement, all oral and written comments received regarding the Settlement, and the record in the Action, and good cause appearing therefor;

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:

1. <u>Jurisdiction</u> – The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Action, and all matters relating to the Settlement, as well as personal jurisdiction over all of the Settling Parties and each of the Settlement Class Members.

Incorporation of Settlement Documents – This Judgment incorporates and makes a part hereof: (a) the Stipulation filed with the Court on October 12, 2018; and (b) the Notice, Plan of Allocation and Summary Notice filed with the Court on November 28, 2018.

3. <u>Class Certification for Settlement Purposes</u> – The Court hereby affirms its determinations in the Preliminary Approval Order certifying, for the purposes of the Settlement only, the Action as a class action pursuant to Rules 23(a) and (b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure on behalf of a class consisting of all persons and entities

-3-

[Also excluded from the Settlement Class are the persons and entities listed on Exhibit 1. herete who or which are excluded pursuant to request.]

4. <u>Adequacy of Representation</u> – Pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and for the purposes of the Settlement only, the Court hereby affirms its determinations in the Preliminary Approval Order certifying Plaintiffs GAMCO Global Gold, Natural Resources & Income Trust, GAMCO Natural Resources, Gold & Income Trust, St. Lucie County Fire District Firefighters' Pension Trust Fund, Fire and Police Retiree Health Care Fund, San Antonio, Sjunde AP-Fonden, and Universal Investment Gesellschaft m.b.H. as Class Representatives for the Settlement Class and appointing Lead Counsel as Class Counsel for the Settlement Class. Plaintiffs and Lead Counsel have fairly and adequately represented the Settlement Class both in terms of litigating the Action and for purposes of entering into and implementing the Settlement and have satisfied the requirements of Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23(a)(4) and 23(g), respectively.

5. <u>Notice</u> – The Court finds that the dissemination of the Notice and the publication of the Summary Notice: (a) were implemented in accordance with the Preliminary Approval Order; (b) constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances; (c) constituted notice that was reasonably calculated, under the circumstances, to apprise Settlement Class Members of (i) the pendency of the Action; which any of their respective affiliates may act as an investment advisor but of which any

of the Settling Defendants or any of their respective affiliates is not a majority owner or does not hold a majority beneficial interest. This definition of Investment Vehicle does not bring into the Settlement Class any of the Settling Defendants themselves or any of the Sponsor Affiliated Funds (as defined in the Stipulation).

(ii) the effect of the proposed Settlement (including the Releases to be provided thereunder); (iii) their right to object to any aspect of the Settlement; (iv) their right to exclude themselves from the Settlement Class; and (v) their right to appear at the Settlement Hearing; (d) constituted due, adequate, and sufficient notice to all persons and entities entitled to receive notice of the proposed Settlement; and (e) satisfied the requirements of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the United States Constitution (including the Due Process Clause), the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, 15 U.S.C. §§ 77z-1, 78u-4, as amended, and all other applicable law and rules.

6. <u>Final Settlement Approval and Dismissal of Claims</u> – Pursuant to, and in accordance with, Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, this Court hereby fully and finally approves the Settlement set forth in the Stipulation in all respects (including, without limitation: the amount of the Settlement; the Releases provided for therein, including the release of the Released Plaintiffs' Claims as against the Settling Defendants' Releasees; and the dismissal with prejudice of the claims asserted against the Settling Defendants in the Action), and finds that the Settlement is, in all respects, fair, reasonable, and adequate to the Settlement Class. The Settling Parties are directed to implement, perform, and consummate the Settlement in accordance with the terms and provisions contained in the Stipulation.

7. All of the claims asserted against the Settling Defendants in the Action by Plaintiffs and the other Settlement Class Members are hereby dismissed with prejudice.

-6-

Case 4:14-cv-03428 Document 368 Filed in TXSD on 02/13/19 Page 6 of 12

The Settling Parties shall bear their own costs and expenses, except as otherwise expressly provided in the Stipulation.

8. <u>Binding Effect</u> – The terms of the Stipulation and of this Judgment shall be forever binding on the Settling Defendants, Plaintiffs, and all other Settlement Class Members (regardless of whether or not any individual Settlement Class Member submits a Claim Form or seeks or obtains a distribution from the Net Settlement Fund), as well as their respective successors and assigns. [The persons and entities listed on Exhibit 1hereto are excluded from the Settlement Class pursuant to request, and are, therefore, not bound by the terms of the Stipulation or this Judgment.]

9. <u>Releases</u> – The Releases set forth in paragraphs 5 and 6 of the Stipulation, together with the definitions contained in paragraph 1 of the Stipulation relating thereto, are expressly incorporated herein in all respects. The Releases are effective as of the Effective Date. Accordingly, this Court orders that:

(a) Without further action by anyone, and subject to paragraph 10 below, upon the Effective Date of the Settlement, Plaintiffs and each of the other Settlement Class Members, on behalf of themselves, and their respective heirs, executors, administrators, predecessors, successors, and assigns in their capacities as such, shall be deemed to have, and by operation of law and of this Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever compromised, settled, released, resolved, relinquished, waived, and discharged each and every Released Plaintiffs' Claim against the Settling Defendants and the other Settling Defendants' Releasees, and shall forever be barred and enjoined from prosecuting any or all of the Released Plaintiffs' Claims against any of the Settling Defendants' Releasees.

(b) Without further action by anyone, and subject to paragraph 10 below, upon the Effective Date of the Settlement, the Settling Defendants, on behalf of themselves, and their respective heirs, executors, administrators, predecessors, successors, and assigns in their capacities as such, shall be deemed to have, and by operation of law and of this Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever compromised, settled, released, resolved, relinquished, waived, and discharged each and every Released Settling Defendants' Claim against Plaintiffs and the other Plaintiffs' Releasees, and shall forever be barred and enjoined from prosecuting any or all of the Released Settling Defendants' Claims against any of the Plaintiffs' Releasees.

10. Notwithstanding paragraphs 9(a)–(b) above, nothing in this Judgment shall bar any action by any of the Settling Parties to enforce or effectuate the terms of the Stipulation or this Judgment.

11. **Bar Order** – Pursuant to the PSLRA and common law, the Court hereby bars all future claims and claims over by any individual or entity against any of the Settling Defendants' Releasees, and by the Settling Defendants' Releasees against any individual or entity, for (a) contribution or indemnity (or any other claim or claim over, however denominated on whatsoever theory) arising out of or related to the claims or allegations asserted by Plaintiffs in the Action, or (b) any other claim of any type, whether arising under state, federal, common, or foreign law, for which the injury claimed is that person's or entity's actual or threatened liability to Plaintiffs and/or members of the Settlement Class arising out of or related to the claims or allegations asserted by Plaintiffs in the Action (the "Bar Order"). For the avoidance of doubt, nothing in this Bar Order shall release any proofs of claim that any of the Settling Defendants has filed in the Cobalt bankruptcy, any claims that the Settling Defendants may have against Cobalt's liability insurance carriers or liability insurance policies, or any claims that the Sponsor Defendants may have against their own respective liability insurance carriers. Moreover, nothing in this Bar Order shall be construed to impair, negate, diminish, or adversely affect any rights of the Settling Defendants or their successors or assigns under or with respect to any insurance policies, including, but without limitation, any rights to seek to recover or to recover insurance proceeds or payments under any insurance policies with respect to amounts paid pursuant to the Settlement or incurred in connection with the Action, or any other actual or alleged loss or liability.

12. <u>Judgment Reduction</u> – Pursuant to the PSLRA and common law, any final verdict or judgment that may be obtained by or on behalf of the Settlement Class or a Settlement Class Member against any individual or entity subject to the Bar Order shall be reduced by the greater of: (a) an amount that corresponds to the percentage of responsibility of the Settling Defendants for common damages; or (b) the amount paid by or on behalf of the Settling Defendants to the Settlement Class or Settlement Class Members for common damages. In the event of a judgment against or a settlement involving any or all of the Underwriter Defendants other than GS&Co. (the "Non-Settling Underwriters") in the Action or any other action arising out of or related to the

claims or allegations asserted by Plaintiffs in the Action, the Non-Settling Underwriters' reduction shall include (to the extent not already included in the aforementioned Bar Order reduction) a judgment credit (or credit in settlement) with respect to all such actions for which the Non-Settling Underwriters may be found liable (or will pay in a settlement subsequent to the settlement memorialized in this Stipulation), in an amount that is the greatest of: (x) the dollar amount paid or contributed by GS&Co. pursuant to the settlement memorialized in this Stipulations as proven at trial, if applicable, or (z) the amount by which GS&Co. would have been required to make contribution had it not settled, under Sections 9.5 and 11.2 of any applicable Master Agreement Among Underwriters (the "MAAUs"), in respect of the final non-appealable judgment (or settlement) subsequently entered into by the Non-Settling Underwriters.

13. <u>**Rule 11 Findings**</u> – The Court finds and concludes that the Settling Parties and their respective counsel have complied in all respects with the requirements of Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure in connection with the institution, prosecution, defense, and settlement of the Action.

14. <u>No Admissions</u> – Neither this Judgment, the Stipulation (whether or not consummated), including the exhibits thereto, the Plan of Allocation contained therein (or any other plan of allocation that may be approved by the Court), the negotiations leading to the execution of the Stipulation, nor any proceedings taken pursuant to or in connection with the Stipulation, and/or approval of the Settlement (including any arguments proffered in connection therewith):

(a) shall be offered against any of the Settling Defendants' Releasees as evidence of, or construed as, or deemed to be evidence of any presumption, concession, or admission by any of the Settling Defendants' Releasees with respect to the truth of any fact alleged by Plaintiffs or the validity of any claim that was or could have been asserted or the deficiency of any defense that has been or could have been asserted in this Action or in any other litigation, or of any liability, negligence, fault, or other wrongdoing of any kind of any of the Settling Defendants' Releasees or in any way referred to for any other reason as against any of the Settling Defendants' Releasees, in any arbitration proceeding or other civil, criminal, or administrative action or proceeding, other than such proceedings as may be necessary to effectuate the provisions of this Stipulation;

(b) shall be offered against any of the Plaintiffs' Releasees, as evidence of, or construed as, or deemed to be evidence of any presumption, concession, or admission by any of the Plaintiffs' Releasees that any of their claims are without merit, that any of the Settling Defendants' Releasees had meritorious defenses, or that damages recoverable from the Settling Defendants under the Operative Complaint would not have exceeded the Settlement Amount or with respect to any liability, negligence, fault, or wrongdoing of any kind, or in any way referred to for any other reason as against any of the Plaintiffs' Releasees, in any arbitration proceeding or other civil, criminal, or administrative action or proceeding, other than such proceedings as may be necessary to effectuate the provisions of this Stipulation; or (c) shall be construed against any of the Releasees as an admission, concession, or presumption that the consideration to be given hereunder represents the amount which could be or would have been recovered after trial against the Settling Defendants;

provided, however, that the Settling Parties and the Releasees and their respective counsel may refer to the Stipulation to effectuate the protections from liability granted thereunder or otherwise to enforce the terms of the Settlement.

15. <u>Retention of Jurisdiction</u> – Without affecting the finality of this Judgment in any way, this Court retains continuing and exclusive jurisdiction over: (a) the Settling Parties for purposes of the administration, interpretation, implementation, and enforcement of the Settlement; (b) the disposition of the Settlement Fund; (c) any motion for an award of attorneys' fees and/or Litigation Expenses by Lead Counsel in the Action that will be paid from the Settlement Fund; (d) any motion to approve a plan of allocation for the proceeds of the Settlement Fund; (e) any motion to approve the Class Distribution Order; and (f) the Settlement Class Members for all matters relating to the Settlement.

16. Separate orders shall be entered regarding approval of a plan of allocation and the motion of Lead Counsel for an award of attorneys' fees and reimbursement of Litigation Expenses. Such orders shall in no way affect or delay the finality of this Judgment and shall not affect or delay the Effective Date of the Settlement.

17. <u>Modification of the Agreement of Settlement</u> – Without further approval from the Court, Plaintiffs and the Settling Defendants are hereby authorized to agree to and adopt such amendments or modifications of the Stipulation or any exhibits attached

-12-

thereto to effectuate the Settlement that: (a) are not materially inconsistent with this Judgment; and (b) do not materially limit the rights of Settlement Class Members in connection with the Settlement. Without further order of the Court, Plaintiffs and the Settling Defendants may agree to reasonable extensions of time to carry out any provisions of the Settlement.

18. <u>Termination of Settlement</u> – If the Settlement is terminated as provided in the Stipulation or the Effective Date of the Settlement otherwise fails to occur, the Judgment including (the Court's certification of the Settlement Class) shall be vacated, rendered null and void, and be of no further force and effect, except as otherwise provided by the Stipulation, and this Judgment shall be without prejudice to the rights of Plaintiffs, the other Settlement Class Members, and the Settling Defendants, and the Settling Parties shall revert to their respective positions in the Action as of immediately prior to the execution of the Stipulation on October 9, 2018, as provided in the Stipulation.

19. <u>Entry of Final Judgment</u> – There is no just reason to delay the entry of this Judgment as a final judgment in this Action as against the Settling Defendants pursuant to Rule 54(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Accordingly, the Clerk of the Court is expressly directed to immediately enter this final judgment as against the Settling Defendants.

13th day of_ uany 2019. SO ORDERED this Judge

-13-

Case 4:14-cv-03428 Document 369 Filed in TXSD on 02/13/19 Page 1 of 13

United States District Court Southern District of Texas

> ENTERED February 13, 2019 David J. Bradley, Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

IN RE COBALT INTERNATIONAL ENERGY, INC. SECURITIES LITIGATION

Lead Case No. 1:14-cv-3428 (NFA)

JUDGMENT APPROVING CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AMONG THE PLAINTIFFS, COBALT INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANTS, AND NADER TAVAKOLI, SOLELY ACTING AS PLAN ADMINISTRATOR ON <u>BEHALF OF THE COBALT DEBTORS</u>

WHEREAS, a consolidated class action is pending in this Court entitled In re Cobalt International Energy, Inc. Securities Litigation, Lead Case No. 1:14-cv-3428-NFA (the "Action");

WHEREAS, (a) lead plaintiffs GAMCO Global Gold, Natural Resources & Income Trust and GAMCO Natural Resources, Gold & Income Trust (together, the "GAMCO Funds" or "Lead Plaintiffs"), St. Lucie County Fire District Firefighters' Pension Trust Fund, Fire and Police Retiree Health Care Fund, San Antonio, Sjunde AP-Fonden, and Universal Investment Gesellschaft m.b.H. (collectively, with Lead Plaintiffs, "Plaintiffs"), on behalf of themselves and the Settlement Class; (b) Cobalt International Energy, Inc. ("Cobalt") and its debtor affiliates (collectively, the "Debtors") in the Debtors' Chapter 11 cases (the "Chapter 11 Cases"), by and through Nader Tavakoli, solely in his capacity as Lead Member and Chairman of the Plan Administrator Committee of Cobalt International Energy, Inc., *et al.* (the "Plan Administrator"); and (c) defendants Joseph Bryant, James W. Farnsworth, Jack E. Golden, Jon A. Marshall, Myles W. Scoggins, William P. Utt, John P. Wilkirson, and Martin H. Young, Jr. (the "Cobalt Individual Defendants," together with Cobalt, the "Cobalt Settling Defendants," and collectively with Plaintiffs, the "Settling Parties"), have determined to settle all claims asserted against the Cobalt Settling Defendants in this Action with prejudice (the "Settlement") on the terms and conditions set forth in the Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement Among Plaintiffs, Cobalt Individual Defendants, and Nader Tavakoli, Solely Acting as Plan Administrator on Behalf of the Cobalt Debtors, dated October 11, 2018 (the "Stipulation"), subject to approval of this Court and the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of Texas (the "Bankruptcy Court");

WHEREAS, unless otherwise defined in this Judgment, the capitalized terms herein shall have the same meaning as they have in the Stipulation;

WHEREAS, by Order dated November 2, 2018 (the "Preliminary Approval Order"), this Court: (a) preliminarily approved the Settlement; (b) certified the Settlement Class solely for purposes of effectuating the Settlement; (c) ordered that notice of the proposed Settlement be provided to potential Settlement Class Members; (d) provided Settlement Class Members with the opportunity either to exclude themselves from the Settlement Class or to object to the proposed Settlement; and (e) scheduled a hearing regarding final approval of the Settlement;

WHEREAS, by Order dated November 20, 2018, the Bankruptcy Court approved the Settlement;

-2-

WHEREAS, due and adequate notice has been given to the Settlement Class;

WHEREAS, the Court conducted a hearing on February 13, 2019 (the "Settlement Hearing") to consider, among other things: (a) whether the terms and conditions of the Settlement are fair, reasonable, and adequate to the Settlement Class, and should therefore be approved; and (b) whether a judgment should be entered dismissing the Action with prejudice as against the Cobalt Settling Defendants; and

WHEREAS, the Court having reviewed and considered the Stipulation, all papers filed and proceedings held herein in connection with the Settlement, all oral and written comments received regarding the Settlement, and the record in the Action, and good cause appearing therefor;

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:

1. <u>Jurisdiction</u> – The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Action, and all matters relating to the Settlement, as well as personal jurisdiction over all of the Settling Parties and each of the Settlement Class Members.

Incorporation of Settlement Documents – This Judgment incorporates and makes a part hereof: (a) the Stipulation filed with the Court on October 12, 2018; and (b) the Notice, Plan of Allocation and Summary Notice filed with the Court on November 28, 2018.

3. <u>Class Certification for Settlement Purposes</u> – The Court hereby affirms its determinations in the Preliminary Approval Order certifying, for the purposes of the Settlement only, the Action as a class action pursuant to Rules 23(a) and (b)(3) of the

-3-

Federal Rules of Civil Procedure on behalf of a class consisting of all persons and entities who purchased or otherwise acquired Cobalt Securities between March 1, 2011 and November 3, 2014, inclusive, and were damaged thereby (the "Settlement Class"). Included within the Settlement Class are all persons and entities who purchased or otherwise acquired shares of Cobalt common stock on the open market and/or pursuant or traceable to the registered public offerings on or about (i) February 23, 2012; (ii) January 16, 2013; and (iii) May 8, 2013. Also included within the Settlement Class are all persons and entities who purchased or otherwise acquired Cobalt convertible senior notes on the open market and/or pursuant or traceable to registered public offerings on or about (i) December 12, 2012; and (ii) May 8, 2014. Excluded from the Settlement Class are Defendants; the officers and directors of Defendants during the Class Period (the "Excluded Officers and Directors"); members of the Immediate Family of the Individual Defendants and of the Excluded Officers and Directors; any entity in which any Defendant, any Excluded Officer or Director, or any of their respective Immediate Family Members has, and/or had during the Class Period, a controlling interest; Defendants' liability insurance carriers; any affiliates, parents, or subsidiaries of the corporate Defendants; all corporate Defendants' plans that are covered by ERISA; and the legal representatives, heirs, agents, affiliates, successors-in-interest or assigns of any excluded person or entity, in their respective capacity as such. [Also excluded from the Settlement Class are the persons and entities listed on Exhibit 1 hereto who or which are NOA excluded pursuant to request.

4. <u>Adequacy of Representation</u> – Pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and for the purposes of the Settlement only, the Court hereby affirms its determinations in the Preliminary Approval Order certifying Plaintiffs GAMCO Global Gold, Natural Resources & Income Trust, GAMCO Natural Resources, Gold & Income Trust, St. Lucie County Fire District Firefighters' Pension Trust Fund, Fire and Police Retiree Health Care Fund, San Antonio, Sjunde AP-Fonden, and Universal Investment Gesellschaft m.b.H. as Class Representatives for the Settlement Class and appointing Lead Counsel as Class Counsel for the Settlement Class. Plaintiffs and Lead Counsel have fairly and adequately represented the Settlement Class both in terms of litigating the Action and for purposes of entering into and implementing the Settlement and have satisfied the requirements of Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23(a)(4) and 23(g), respectively.

5. <u>Notice</u> – The Court finds that the dissemination of the Notice and the publication of the Summary Notice: (a) were implemented in accordance with the Preliminary Approval Order; (b) constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances; (c) constituted notice that was reasonably calculated, under the circumstances, to apprise Settlement Class Members of (i) the pendency of the Action; (ii) the effect of the proposed Settlement (including the Releases to be provided thereunder); (iii) their right to object to any aspect of the Settlement; (iv) their right to exclude themselves from the Settlement Class; and (v) their right to appear at the Settlement Hearing; (d) constituted due, adequate, and sufficient notice to all persons and entities entitled to receive notice of the proposed Settlement; and (e) satisfied the

-5-

requirements of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the United States Constitution (including the Due Process Clause), the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, 15 U.S.C. §§ 77z-1, 78u-4, as amended, and all other applicable law and rules.

6. <u>Final Settlement Approval and Dismissal of Claims</u> – Pursuant to, and in accordance with, Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, this Court hereby fully and finally approves the Settlement set forth in the Stipulation in all respects (including, without limitation: the amount of the Settlement; the substance and timing of the Releases provided for therein, including the prospective release of the Released Plaintiffs' Claims as against the Settling Defendants' Releasees; and the dismissal with prejudice of the claims asserted against the Settling Defendants in the Action), and finds that the Settlement is, in all respects, fair, reasonable, and adequate to the Settlement Class. The Settling Parties are directed to implement, perform, and consummate the Settlement in accordance with the terms and provisions contained in the Stipulation.

7. All of the claims asserted against the Settling Defendants in the Action by Plaintiffs and the other Settlement Class Members are hereby dismissed with prejudice following the final termination of all D&O Coverage Litigation involving the Insurers or D&O Liability Insurance Policies. The Settling Parties shall bear their own costs and expenses, except as otherwise expressly provided in the Stipulation.

8. <u>Binding Effect</u> – The terms of the Stipulation and of this Judgment shall be forever binding on the Settling Defendants, Plaintiffs, and all other Settlement Class Members (regardless of whether or not any individual Settlement Class Member submits

-6-

a Claim Form or seeks or obtains a distribution from the Net Settlement Fund), as well as their respective successors and assigns. [The persons and entities listed on Exhibit 1hereto are excluded from the Settlement Class pursuant to request, and are, therefore, not bound by the terms of the Stipulation or this Judgment.]

9. <u>Releases</u> – The Releases set forth in paragraphs 20 and 21 of the Stipulation, together with the definitions contained in paragraph 1 of the Stipulation relating thereto, are expressly incorporated herein in all respects. The Releases are effective as of the later of the Effective Date of the Settlement or the final termination of all D&O Coverage Litigation involving the Insurers or D&O Liability Insurance Policies (the "Termination Date"). Accordingly, this Court orders that:

(a) Without further action by anyone, and subject to paragraph 10 below, upon the later of the Effective Date or the Termination Date, Plaintiffs and each of the other Settlement Class Members, on behalf of themselves, and their respective heirs, executors, administrators, predecessors, successors, and assigns in their capacities as such, shall be deemed to have, and by operation of law and of this Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever compromised, settled, released, resolved, relinquished, waived, and discharged each and every Released Plaintiffs' Claim against the Cobalt Settling Defendants and the other Settling Defendants' Releaseds, and shall forever be barred and enjoined from prosecuting any or all of the Released Plaintiffs' Claims against any of the Settling Defendants' Releasees.

(b) Without further action by anyone, and subject to paragraph 10 below, upon the later of the Effective Date or the Termination Date, the Settling

-7-

Defendants, on behalf of themselves, and their respective heirs, executors, administrators, predecessors, successors, and assigns in their capacities as such, shall be deemed to have, and by operation of law and of this Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever compromised, settled, released, resolved, relinquished, waived, and discharged each and every Released Settling Defendants' Claim against Plaintiffs and the other Plaintiffs' Releasees, and shall forever be barred and enjoined from prosecuting any or all of the Released Settling Defendants' Claims against any of the Plaintiffs' Releasees.

10. Notwithstanding paragraphs 9(a)–(b) above, nothing in this Judgment shall bar any action by any of the Settling Parties to enforce or effectuate the terms of the Stipulation or this Judgment.

11. **Bar Order** – Pursuant to the PSLRA and common law, upon the later of the Effective Date or the Termination Date, the Court hereby bars all future claims and claims over by any individual or entity against any of the Settling Defendants' Releasees, and by the Settling Defendants' Releasees against any individual or entity, for (a) contribution or indemnity (or any other claim or claim over, however denominated on whatsoever theory) arising out of or related to the claims or allegations asserted by Plaintiffs in the Action, or (b) any other claim of any type, whether arising under state, federal, common, or foreign law, for which the injury claimed is that person's or entity's actual or threatened liability to Plaintiffs and/or members of the Settlement Class arising out of or related to the claims or allegations asserted by Plaintiffs in the Action (the "Bar Order"). For the avoidance of doubt, nothing in this Bar Order shall release any proofs of claim that any of the Cobalt Settling Defendants has filed in the Cobalt bankruptcy, or any claims that the Cobalt Settling Defendants may have against Cobalt's liability insurance carriers or liability insurance policies. Moreover, nothing in this Bar Order shall be construed to impair, negate, diminish, or adversely affect any rights of the Cobalt Settling Defendants or their successors or assigns under or with respect to any insurance policies, including, but without limitation, any rights to seek to recover or to recover insurance proceeds or payments under any insurance policies with respect to amounts incurred pursuant to the Settlement or incurred in connection with the Action, or any other actual or alleged loss or liability.

12. <u>Judgment Reduction</u> – Pursuant to the PSLRA and common law, any final verdict or judgment that may be obtained by or on behalf of the Settlement Class or a Settlement Class Member against any individual or entity subject to the Bar Order shall be reduced by the greater of: (a) an amount that corresponds to the percentage of responsibility of the Cobalt Settling Defendants for common damages; or (b) the amount paid by or on behalf of the Cobalt Settling Defendants to the Settlement Class or Settlement Class Members for common damages.

13. <u>**Rule 11 Findings**</u> – The Court finds and concludes that the Settling Parties and their respective counsel have complied in all respects with the requirements of Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure in connection with the institution, prosecution, defense, and settlement of the Action.

14. <u>No Admissions</u> – Neither this Judgment, the Stipulation (whether or not consummated), including the exhibits thereto, the Plan of Allocation contained therein (or any other plan of allocation that may be approved by the Court), the negotiations leading

-9-

to the execution of the Stipulation, nor any proceedings taken pursuant to or in connection with the Stipulation, and/or approval of the Settlement (including any arguments proffered in connection therewith):

(a) shall be offered against any of the Settling Defendants' Releasees as evidence of, or construed as, or deemed to be evidence of any presumption, concession, or admission by any of the Settling Defendants' Releasees with respect to the truth of any fact alleged by Plaintiffs or the validity of any claim that was or could have been asserted or the deficiency of any defense that has been or could have been asserted in this Action or in any other litigation, or of any liability, negligence, fault, or other wrongdoing of any kind of any of the Settling Defendants' Releasees or in any way referred to for any other reason as against any of the Settling Defendants' Releasees, in any arbitration proceeding or other civil, criminal, or administrative action or proceeding, other than such proceedings as may be necessary to effectuate the provisions of this Stipulation;

(b) shall be offered against any of the Plaintiffs' Releasees, as evidence of, or construed as, or deemed to be evidence of any presumption, concession, or admission by any of the Plaintiffs' Releasees that any of their claims are without merit, that any of the Settling Defendants' Releasees had meritorious defenses, or that damages recoverable from the Settling Defendants under the Operative Complaint would not have exceeded the Settlement Amount or with respect to any liability, negligence, fault, or wrongdoing of any kind, or in any way referred to for any other reason as against any of the Plaintiffs' Releasees, in any arbitration proceeding or other civil, criminal, or administrative action or proceeding, other than such proceedings as may be necessary to effectuate the provisions of this Stipulation; or

(c) shall be construed against any of the Releasees as an admission, concession, or presumption that the consideration to be given hereunder represents the amount which could be or would have been recovered after trial against the

Cobalt Settling Defendants;

provided, however, that the Settling Parties and the Releasees and their respective counsel may refer to the Stipulation to effectuate the protections from liability granted thereunder or otherwise to enforce the terms of the Settlement, or in connection with the AIG Litigation or D&O Coverage Litigation.

15. <u>Retention of Jurisdiction</u> – Without affecting the finality of this Judgment in any way, this Court retains continuing and exclusive jurisdiction over: (a) the Settling Parties for purposes of the administration, interpretation, implementation, and enforcement of the Settlement; (b) the disposition of the Settlement Fund; (c) any motion for an award of attorneys' fees and/or Litigation Expenses by Lead Counsel in the Action that will be paid from the Settlement Fund; (d) any motion to approve a plan of allocation for the proceeds of the Settlement Fund; (e) any motion to approve the Class Distribution Order; and (f) the Settlement Class Members for all matters relating to the Settlement.

16. Separate orders shall be entered regarding approval of a plan of allocation and the motion of Lead Counsel for an award of attorneys' fees and reimbursement of

-11-

Litigation Expenses. Such orders shall in no way affect or delay the finality of this Judgment and shall not affect or delay the Effective Date of the Settlement.

17. <u>Modification of the Agreement of Settlement</u> – Without further approval from the Court, Plaintiffs and the Cobalt Settling Defendants are hereby authorized to agree to and adopt such amendments or modifications of the Stipulation or any exhibits attached thereto to effectuate the Settlement that: (a) are not materially inconsistent with this Judgment; and (b) do not materially limit the rights of Settlement Class Members in connection with the Settlement. Without further order of the Court, Plaintiffs and the Cobalt Settling Defendants may agree to reasonable extensions of time to carry out any provisions of the Settlement.

18. <u>Termination of Settlement</u> – If the Settlement is terminated as provided in the Stipulation or the Effective Date of the Settlement otherwise fails to occur, the Judgment including (the Court's certification of the Settlement Class) shall be vacated, rendered null and void, and be of no further force and effect, except as otherwise provided by the Stipulation, and this Judgment shall be without prejudice to the rights of Plaintiffs, the other Settlement Class Members, and the Cobalt Settling Defendants, and the Settling Parties shall revert to their respective positions in the Action as of immediately prior to the execution of the Stipulation on October 11, 2018, as provided in the Stipulation.

19. <u>Entry of Final Judgment</u> – There is no just reason to delay the entry of this Judgment as a final judgment in this Action as against the Cobalt Settling Defendants pursuant to Rule 54(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Accordingly, the Clerk

-12-

of the Court is expressly directed to immediately enter this final judgment as against the

Cobalt Settling Defendants.

SO ORDERED this ______ day of ______ 2019.

The Honorable Mancy F. Atlas United States District Judge